

they're allowed inside any fraternity house, anytime, because they are now University property. Of course, the officers insisted their presence was necessary because the stereo was so loud. [Even though the next morning, the house mother, a self-confessed light sleeper, was suprised at the incident because she didn't hear the stereo.]

Finally four police officers were escorted out of the house, even though they claimed they had the right to hang out inside. They told the Phi Kaps they would stop by to give them a litter violation. Although the police didn't arrive on Sunday morning to issue a litter violation, one Phi Kap thought maybe they were just waiting until noon so

they could join the brothers for brunch.

Hopefully, all the alumni who came back to W&L for FD weekend enjoyed themselves and had as much fun with their fraternity brothers as the Lexington police often do.

He Said, She Said

Faculty requiring gender-neutral language isn't new.

Law professor Gwen Handelman elevated her desire for political correctness at W&L to ridiculous heights recently when she lowered the grades of two third-year law students who failed to

use gender neutral language in papers assigned for her Tax Ethics class. Rather than use the awkward "he, she or it" for each pronoun, the students who are, not coincidentally, white males chose the traditional "he" or in some cases "she" to represent non-specific antecedents.

While such action is not surprising coming from a woman who berates students for referring to her as "ma'am" (perhaps she would prefer "sir"), it does mark a low point for the liberal education which W&L purports to bestow on its students. Grammatical and syntactical correctness are no longer enough. Instead, the student must now tailor his language to fit the particular ideological bent of

the professor in order to receive a fair grade. Rather than challenge the professor on issues and ideas, the student must blindly accept whatever the professor belches forth or face lowered grades. Such intellectual intimidation fits nicely into the CRC model of social engineering through restraint of free speech, but it has no place at W&L or in legitimate academia.

And yet, one wonders why all the surprise over Professor Handleman's requirement. Though she may be the first professor to formally require gender-neutral language, she is not the first to grade students down for failure to use it. Why no headlines concerning Professor Simpson's similar attempts at grammatical engineering?

TOP TEN LINES OVERHEAD ALUMNI WEEKEND

10. "I'm staying at the Comfort Inn, Room #312. And if any of my friends ask, you go to Hollins."
9. "Gee, I never thought I'd be sneaking out of a W&L dorm."
8. "In my day, we only needed three urinals and a stall."
7. "Yes, actually, I'm very rich."
6. "That's a library? It looks like a mausoleum."
5. "What do you mean, I paid for that mausoleum?"
4. "What's a General Headquarters? You mean the Cockpit, right?"
3. "Wilson is still in Lee House."
2. "What do you mean the military ban on the law school hasn't been overturned?"
1. "Hello, Dr. Futch, it's great to see you again!"

Drug Lords in Lee Chapel

Contact debate between leaders of drug world is disappointing.

The emaciated figure entered Lee Chapel wearing white tennis shoes and a black nylon jacket covered with prints of the earth. Dr. Leary paused in front of the

General *opinion*

portrait of General Lee stared for a few seconds, and then imitated the General's pose. A little too much LSD? Perhaps.

On April 30, the Washington and Lee community witnessed a debate between 60's guru Dr. Timothy Leary and former Drug Enforcement Agency head, Mr. Peter Bensinger. On one side appeared a relic of the psychedelic age who coined the phrase, "Turn on, tune in, drop out." On the other side stood a Washington bureaucrat who has spent his life's work in drug enforcement and education. The stage was set for a battle of individual's rights versus the public good, yet the evening proved to be little of a debate at all. The two men mostly talked in circles about the effects of airplane pilots getting high.

Mr. Bensinger lectured as if he were addressing second graders and carefully avoided any shocking facts. His main objective is to spend money on drug education, which he feels will consequently lower our nation's enormous demand for drugs. Dr. Leary, on the other hand, rambled from one unrelated topic to the next and did everything in his power to act and appear exactly the opposite of "Mr. DEA." Not sufficiently

armed with many facts, Leary allowed the debate to turn into somewhat of a farce. He ended his "speech" by requesting that every time we look at the Capitol remember that all the top scum in the world is congregated under that one dome.

Bensinger is now the president of a consulting firm providing information on drug abuse. Leary continues to be a chief spokesman for the "cultural revolution" and is in the process of simulating LSD and other mind experiences with the aid of his computer software company, Futique, Inc. Although the expectations of a heated debate were never met, the evening was entertaining and the ramblings and contrasting appearances proved to be educational, if not in the anticipated way.

PC Security

With rising crime rates on our nation's campuses, some trendy schools are combatting the problem with PC re-education.

Ever wonder how the folks in New Haven, Connecticut are doing? (yes, home to *that* university)

Doesn't look too good. This year the city of New Haven has clocked in with some pretty impressive crime statistics: 31 murders, 168 rapes, 1,784 robberies, 2,008 aggravated assaults, 4,476 burglaries, and 3,459 auto thefts. This obviously has the students at Yale a little nervous (and parents, too; why pay \$25,000+ a year to have your son or daughter mugged coming back from English class?). So what does the school do? More security guards and better exterior lighting perhaps? Come on, this is Yale, and that would be a fascist response typical of an imperialistic aggressor more concerned with punishing criminals than alleviating social injustices.

While increased security efforts are in the works (hey, they have pocketbooks...I mean parents to keep happy), University President Benno Schmidt has announced that he will lobby "for an absolutely rigorous and effective form of handgun control." Maybe he will succeed in making the laws as strict as they are in New York City, where there are four murders committed with guns every day. Yale junior Joshua Wallack, who works with several campus social-service groups, has said he "choose[s] to view

this problem as a call to Yale students to get more involved in the community." Another student has: "New Haven and Yale co-exist right next to each other, but they are isolated. That's the core of the problem." One can just see the town-gown goodwill cookout now: all the drug gangs and thieves will come to discover just how concerned Yalies are over their problems and will cease their criminal actions against these innocent and right-thinking students. Alumni magazine editor Karen Kaylin has said that "crime on the campus won't truly be eradicated until Yale spends more of its resources tackling the root causes of crime such as drugs, poverty, homelessness, and unemployment. University Secretary Sheila Wellington advises us that Yale's next responsibility after the safety of the students is to help the surrounding neighborhoods alleviate their social ills. Gee, I suppose teaching the students something would be out of the question (though, at Yale they probably learn by reading the diaries of drug dealers and studying gang graffiti, anyway). After all, does one not attend Yale (and pay dearly for it) in order to improve the lives of New Ha-