EC calls for ties; exam proposal delayed

By G. BRUCE POTTER News Editor

The Executive Committee voted Monday night to ask the faculty on an individual basis to require students to wear respectable clothes, including ties, to class.

But final approval of the open exam policy was delayed a week because the entire proposal had not been typed.

The questions of students' wearing ties to class came during a discussion of student apathy in general. Also discussed, but without any action taken, were proposals to combine athletic events and social functions, publish a "Blue Book" of Washington and Lee traditions, re-establish a Boosters' Club and reaffirm the speaking tradition.

Junior representative Cole Dawson noted that even if only 60 percent of the faculty requested their students to wear ties, nearly everyone on the campus would have one on.

"There will be enough people with ties so that it will become accepted," Dawson predicted.

Vice president Dave Judge, who has taken several classes with Dr. J.D. Futch, who requires ties, said, "I've found that kids who don't take it seriously are few and far between."

Jenevein will make his proposal, which was approved unanimously by the EC, to the faculty during its Feb. 6 meeting.

Earlier in the meeting, some infighting between Jenevein and Secretary Len Howard surfaced.

Jenevein first asked Howard to get the EC minutes posted on campus sooner. Jenevein said the minutes of last week's meeting hadn't been posted in several places until a week later, and that two items — announcements of the ODK Convocation and the coeducation poll — were dependent upon the

minutes being posted.

Then, Howard had typed a cover letter to Dean Atwood and Dawson's nine-point open exam proposal for the EC to approve. Jenevein first questioned several editing changes Howard made and then chastised Howard for not typing another page dealing with the specific logistics of how exams would be distributed.

"I want to know why the extent of the proposal was not typed up," Jenevein said.

Howard said he thought the EC still had to approve the idea, but Jenevein said the idea was approved last week, and the logistics needed to be approved this week.

The issue was tabled for a week, and Dawson volunteered to type the rest of the proposal. After the EC approves the proposal, the Faculty Executive Committee must make a recommendation to the whole faculty.

The Faculty EC, though, meets on Monday afternoons and thus will not have an opportunity to consider the open exam proposal before the next

faculty meeting Feb. 6.

Also Monday night, the EC cleared up its budget misunder-standing with the Student Association for Black Unity as SABU President Ron Magee agreed to spend all of the EC's \$1,500 allocation on the SABU ball. A budget report presented by SABU last week showed projected expenditures of \$750 for the ball and \$750 for a speaker.

Judge said he wanted to ensure that organizations spend EC funds for what they say they are going to spend them for during budget request.

Magee said he was not aware the EC had intended the \$1,500 solely for the ball. He added that SABU should still be able to pay for the speaker, Evonne Braithwaite Burke, March 22.

The issue of honor relations with the law school was raised following the Student Bar Association's budget report. Jenevein asked the SBA members whether they felt the honor system should apply to law students.

"I think a lot of the law students...adhere to an honor system within the body of themselves," SBA President Kelly Wrenn said. "I think the law students don't understand the honor system here.

"I think the law students are partly to blame because they're not as informed about the honor system as they should be."

John Sicilian, a second-year law student who was an EC representative last year, said, "The opposition to the honor system in the law school is a vocal minority.

"A lot of people question the idea of their peers — other students — judging their particular situation. When you get into law school, you have more of a vested interest in staying there."

No action was taken on the matter.

The EC did approve a letter to Dean John requesting the creation of a new staff position, student body secretary, to be supported through the university budget. This employee would provide general clerical work, typing, message reception and link from one year's student leaders to the next.

FRIDAY HAPPY HOUR